

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
**Attorney Docket No. 007412.00116**

|                                      |   |                           |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| In re U.S. Patent Application of     | ) |                           |
| Ahmad-Taylor                         | ) |                           |
|                                      | ) | Group Art Unit: 2424      |
| Application No. 10/823,869           | ) |                           |
|                                      | ) | Examiner: Tilahun, Alazar |
| Filed: April 14, 2004                | ) |                           |
|                                      | ) | Confirmation No. 6180     |
| For: Method and System for Providing | ) |                           |
| On-Demand Viewing                    | ) |                           |

**RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Pursuant to MPEP § 713.04, Applicant submits this response to the Interview Summary dated March 22, 2010. Applicant agrees that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101 and 35 U.S.C. 102(e) were both discussed during the interview, as stated in the Interview Summary. However, Applicant disagrees that any agreement was reached with regard to the rejection of claims 42-45 under 35 U.S.C. 101. While the Examiner did point out that Director Kappos' memo dated 01/26/2010 was being applied to claims 42-25 to make the 101 rejections, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the conclusion. During the Examiner Interview, the Examiner did conclude that the addition of the phrase "non transitory" before the term "computer-readable medium" in claims 42-25 would overcome the 101 rejections. Additionally, after explanation of the independent claims by the Applicant's representative, the Examiner stated that the art of record does not disclose or suggest the additional windows feature of the independent claims. The Examiner stated that he would conduct a further search to see if a new rejection would be warranted or if the claims would be in condition for allowance. Applicant will be happy to address this issue in greater detail, if needed, in response to the next Office Action.

Application No. 10/823,869

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: April 22, 2010

By: /Surendra K. Ravula /  
Surendra Kumar Ravula  
Registration No. 65,588  
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.  
10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 3000  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Telephone: 312-463-5000  
Facsimile: 312-463-5001